UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,508	04/02/2004	Brian J. Brown	S63.2N-6769-US06	3146
490 Vidas arri	7590 02/13/200° ETT & STEINKRAUS,		EXAM	INER
6109 BLUE C	IRCLE DRIVE		S63.2N-6769-US06 3146  EXAMINER  BUI, VY Q	VY Q
SUITE 2000 MINNETONK	CA, MN 55343-9185		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINNE	21, 1111 ( 333 13 ) 103		3734	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 M(	ONTHS	02/13/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	LA Li No	I A - B	m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A. C. and Commence	10/817,508	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>-</u>	Vy Q. Bui	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 8 December 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 3	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the containing the oath or declaration is objected to by the			(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oian priority under 25 H S C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	sign priority under 33 0.3.C.	3 113(a)-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03/2004;7/6/2004.	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude." granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable at least over claims 39, 40, 46, 50 and 54 of copending Application No. 09/197,278. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both includes main structural limitations of the invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3734

## Conclusion

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3734

1/2/06